



Related Efforts and Future Activities

***Presented by:
Robert A. Bari
PR&PP WG Co-chair***

***PR&PP Workshop
Tokyo, Japan
22 February 2011***

Questions and Issues That Technical Proliferation Risk Assessments Can Inform

- ***Relative advantages of alternative nuclear energy systems***
- ***System architecture (e.g. once through vs. closed fuel cycles)***
- ***International arrangements vs. national programs***
- ***Energy-Environment-Economics-Nonproliferation-Security-Safety Trade-offs***
- ***Many stakeholders...information needs to be presented to each user in an understandable way***

Evaluations should consider...

- ***Country Context***
 - ***Objectives***
 - ***Capabilities***
 - ***Strategies***
- ***System design features relevant to PR&PP***
- ***Safeguards and Security Contexts***
- ***Policy considerations***
- ***3 Stages for Evaluation: Acquisition, Processing, Weaponization (not usually)***

Assessment Methodologies

- ***Gen IV PR&PP Evaluation Methodology***
- ***IAEA INPRO Methodology***
- ***MAUI variants***
- ***SAPRA***
- ***Adaptations from Reactor Safety Arena***
- ***Older approaches:***
 - ***NASAP/INFCE***
 - ***TOPS***

What we learned from Reactor Safety

- ***WASH-1400 provided risk perspective, departing from and adding to deterministic, prescriptive perspective***
 - ***It helped to set safety goals when requested by Congress in the aftermath of TMI-2 accident***
 - ***It highlighted the gaps in risk analysis:***
 - ***Human Factors***
 - ***Core melt and containment response***
 - ***Data Needs***
 - ***Common cause failures, dependencies***
- ***Lessons for PR&PP Area?***
- ***Can we risk-inform this area as we do Safety?***

Enabling “Safeguards-by-Design”

- ***Designers need to be informed about “safeguardability” of their product***
- ***Optimization of inherent features and extrinsic measures***
- ***Need a comprehensive tool, but with flexibility to make user-dependent decisions that account for design constraints and operational goals***
- ***PRPP methodology provides this utility***

Studies Performed*

- ***ESFR: Example Sodium Fast Reactor w/fuel cycle***
- ***PRR-1: UREX1a, COEX, PUREX***
- ***PRR-2: UREX suite, COEX, Pyro, PUREX***
- ***PRR-3: SFR, VHTR, CANDU, ALWR***
- ***SMR: Integral PWR, Barge Reactor***

****ESFR performed by international group; others by U.S. participants for
NNSA***

Observations from Evaluation Process

- ***Multiple pathways/scenarios highlight fact there are no simple answers to the relative PR&PP advantages of different processes***
- ***Even a qualitative analysis is useful for informing decision-makers on “big picture”—e.g., for which threat scenarios do particular process characteristics make a difference, and how, and where do they not.***
- ***Useful framework for integrating key findings and insights from multiple, more narrowly focused, technical studies***

The Policy-Technology Nexus

- ***Policy informs the statement of the questions to be addressed***
- ***Technical evaluations are performed to provide clear statements of alternatives (indicating and displaying degrees of differentiation)***
- ***Policy is then used again to help choose among the alternatives defined in the results***

Do not infuse technical evaluation portion with subjective notions from policy

Possible Future Applications for PR&PP

- ***Enhancing GIF Designs***
- ***Enabling “Safeguards by Design”***
- ***Guiding Future Global Fuel Cycle Architectures***
- ***Integration of PR&PP with Other Performance Objectives***
- ***PR&PP methodology as a Quality Assurance Tool***
-benchmark standard