SAFEGUARDS TECHNOLOGY FOR DISARMAMENT VERIFICATION

Shirley J. Johnson Tucker Creek Consulting, PLLC

FACILITIES FOR VERIFICATION

- Operating facilities for civilian use;
- Operating facilities for military purposes;
- Shut-down and closed-down facilities;
- Future facilities.

VERIFICATION CHALLENGES

- Facilities not designed for nuclear material accountancy nor international safeguards;
- Operator equipment and systems may be old and in need of updating;
- Safeguards relevant measurement systems may have large uncertainties;
- Access may be restricted due to commercial sensitivity, security concerns, or radiological hazards;
- Travel logistics to enter and/or travel within the
 State may be complicated and/or restricted; and
- COST !!!!!

VERIFICATION APPROACH ISSUES

- Evaluate relevance of Significant Quantity (8 Kg Pu, 25 Kg HEU) and Timeliness (1 month) goals;
- Focus on material balance of Pu and HEU and less on D,N,LEU;
- Focus on indicators of operational status;
- Replace continuous or interim inspections with SNRI for:
 - Verification of Inventory Changes,
 - Interim Inventory Verification for timeliness;
- Install [remote] C/S and unattended measurement and monitoring system;
- Evaluate waste against declared design and operational values; and
- Design Information Verification activities.
- [Introduction of a State Level Approach]

^{*} Short Notice Random Inspections

SNRI IMPLEMENTATION

- Would require operators to provide:
 - Advanced declarations of operational schedules, and
 - Continuous and timely declarations of material flows and inventories;
- Unattended measurement and monitoring systems;
- Multi-entry visas; and
- Stream-lined security and safety procedures for country and facility entry.

POSSIBLE INSPECTION EFFORT FOR REPROCESSING FACILITIES

| Activity/facility | Inspections/ year | Duration (days) | Number of inspectors per action | Total person days/yr |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | | |
| Short notice random inspection | 8 | 5 | 3 | 120 PD |
| Physical inventory inspection | 1 | 10 | 5 | 50 PD |
| Other activities | | | | 30 PD |
| TOTAL | | | | 200 PD |
| RRP | Continuous | 250 days oper. shut-down | 4 insp./3 shifts 1-2 insp./day | - 1200 PD |
| | | | | |

RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS

- Retrofitting on-line or in-line measurement and monitoring systems;
- R&D for more robust and reliable unattended measurement and monitoring systems;
- Development of NMA and SG data management systems;
- Human resources for both implementation and routine inspections;
- Introduction of IAEA nuclear material accountancy system; and
- Travel costs.

OTHER FACILITIES

EC FACILTIES - Expanded cooperation with Euratom

- Authentication of measurement equipment and procedures,
- Use of joint-use equipment and procedures, and
- Verify and/or evaluate operator and Euratom measurement systems;

OPERATING MILITARY FACILITIES

- SG Approach designed on a case-by-case basis,
- Sensitivity of design and enrichment of military fuel, and
- Some 'masking' of process may be required;

SHUT-DOWN/CLOSED-DOWN FACILITIES

- Confirm facility status with low random inspections/visits,
- Satellite or areal imaging, and
- C/S or process monitoring, including reagents and off-gases;

FUTURE FACILITIES

- Design plants for 'safeguardability', and
- Use of similar SG Approach as in non-NWS facilities.

VERIFICATION OVERVIEW

- Some reduced confidence in meeting current SG Criteria for existing plants, with focus on operational parameters;
- Introduce random, short-notice inspection activities to provide more unpredictability and reduce costs;
- Install unattended measurement and monitoring systems;
- Make use of remote monitoring and C/S, where possible;
- Require near real time reporting by operators;
- Make use of regional inspection/monitoring capabilities;
- Monitor shut-down and closed-down plants; and
- Design 'safeguardability' into future plants to meet SG Criteria.